ON THE SMOOTHABILITY OF CERTAIN KÄHLER CONES

RONAN J. CONLON

Abstract. Let D be a Fano manifold that may be realised as $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Z$ over a Fano manifold Z. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ divide $c_1(D)$. We classify those Kähler cones of dimension ≤ 4 of the form $(\frac{1}{k}K_D)^\times$ that are smoothable. As a consequence, we find that any irregular Calabi-Yau cone of dimension ≤ 4 of this form does not admit a smoothing, leaving $K^\times_{\mathbb{P}^2_{(2)}}$ as currently the only known example of a smoothable irregular Calabi-Yau cone in these dimensions.

arXiv:1407.4887v1 [math.AG] 18 Jul 2014

1. Introduction

By a *smoothing* of a Calabi-Yau cone, we mean a smooth affine variety that is asymptotic to the cone at infinity. It is in particular a deformation of the cone, which, although affine, can in general be singular and does not necessarily have to be asymptotic to the cone at infinity; see [4, Remarks 5.3 and 5.7]. Interest in examples of smoothings of Calabi-Yau cones stems from the fact that they are one natural starting point for the construction of asymptotically conical (AC) Calabi-Yau manifolds. Indeed, given a smoothing, one would hope to endow it with an AC Calabi-Yau metric; see for example [4, Section 5]. With this in mind, we would like to identify which of the new examples of *irregular* Calabi-Yau cones recently appearing in the literature are smoothable. At present, unlike the situation in the *regular* case [5, 18], there is no general theorem in the literature asserting the existence of AC Calabi-Yau metrics on a smoothing of an irregular Calabi-Yau cone. However, one example of such a smoothing admitting AC Calabi-Yau metrics is already known. Writing $\mathbb{P}^2_{(k)}$ to denote the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at k points in general position and $L^\times$ to denote the blowdown of the zero section of a negative holomorphic line bundle L over a compact Kähler manifold, it is shown in [9] that the Kähler cone $K^\times_{\mathbb{P}^2_{(2)}}$ is an irregular Calabi-Yau cone, which by [1] admits a smoothing unique up to biholomorphism. AC Calabi-Yau metrics were then constructed on this smoothing in [5].

Now, the first examples of irregular Calabi-Yau cones were constructed in [10]. However, as toric examples, by looking at their toric diagram [3, Figure 2], they are seen to be rigid by [1]. In [6, 7], these examples were shown to fit into a larger family of toric Calabi-Yau cones, but again, using [1], one can read from their toric diagrams [8, Figure 2] that this family too comprises of rigid Calabi-Yau cones. More toric examples were then constructed in [9], where it is not only shown that $K^\times_{\mathbb{P}^2_{(2)}}$ is a Calabi-Yau cone, but that $K^\times_D$ admits a (potentially irregular) toric Calabi-Yau cone metric for D a toric Fano manifold, not necessarily admitting a Kähler-Einstein metric. By [1], these Calabi-Yau cones are all rigid in dimensions $n \geq 4$, and the only other 3-dimensional irregular example we find in [9], namely $K^\times_{\mathbb{P}^2_{(1)}}$, is also rigid; see [1, Section 9]. (The Calabi-Yau cone $K^\times_{\mathbb{P}^2_{(1)}}$ was also one of the irregular examples of [10]; see [14, Section 7].) More recently, examples of (once again, potentially irregular) non-toric Calabi-Yau cones, including those examples found in the extension [11] of [10], were given by [13, Theorem 1.5]. In this article, we address the issue of the smoothability of the examples in low dimensions resulting from this theorem.

Our main result is the following. We write $\mathbb{Q}^n$ to denote the smooth quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

Date: July 21, 2014.

Theorem A. *Let D be a Fano manifold of dimension ≤ 3 that may be realised as $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Z$ over a Fano manifold Z. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ divide $c_1(D)$. Then $(\frac{1}{k}K_D)^\times$ is smoothable if and only if*

(i) *$D = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $k = 1$. In this case, the smoothing is biholomorphic to $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$.*
(ii) *$D = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $k = 1$. In this case, the smoothing is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \text{quadric} = T^*\mathbb{RP}^3$.*
(iii) *$D = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $k = 2$. In this case, the smoothing is biholomorphic to $T^*\mathbb{S}^3$.*
(iv) *$D = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ and $k = 2$. In this case, the smoothing is biholomorphic to the complement of a hyperplane section of the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^8$.*

Since every instance of D in Theorem A admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, the corresponding smoothable Calabi-Yau cone $(\frac{1}{k}K_D)^\times$ must be regular, and in each case, Stenzel [15] has constructed an explicit AC Calabi-Yau metric on the smoothing (see also [5, 18]). Thus, we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary B. *Let D and k be as in Theorem A. Then every smoothable Calabi-Yau cone of dimension ≤ 4 of the form $(\frac{1}{k}K_D)^\times$ is regular.*

In particular, we see that none of the irregular Calabi-Yau cones of dimension ≤ 4 resulting from [13, Theorem 1.5] are smoothable. This leaves $K^\times_{\mathbb{P}^2_{(2)}}$ as currently the only known example of a smoothable irregular Calabi-Yau cone in dimensions ≤ 4.

We remark that if [2, Conjecture 5.5.1] holds true, then in fact the Calabi-Yau cones of Theorem A would be the *only* examples (in all dimensions) of smoothable Calabi-Yau cones of the form $(\frac{1}{k}K_D)^\times$ for D Fano and taking the form $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Z$ over a Fano manifold Z, and for k dividing $c_1(D)$. In particular, this would leave $K^\times_{\mathbb{P}^2_{(2)}}$ as currently the only known example in *all* dimensions of a smoothable irregular Calabi-Yau cone.

Our proof of Theorem A follows from an observation in [4] that for D Fano, $(\frac{1}{k}K_D)^\times$ is smoothable if and only if D appears as an anti-canonical divisor in a Fano manifold of one dimension greater. This result, combined with the classification theory of Fano manifolds, then yields Theorem A.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Hans-Joachim Hein for comments on a preliminary version of this article.

2. Proof of Theorem A

We define the index $r(X)$ of a Fano manifold X as

$$r(X) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \,|\, k \text{ divides } c_1(X) \text{ in } \text{Pic}(X)\}.$$

The index $r(X)$ of a Fano manifold X of dimension n satisfies $r(X) \leq n + 1$ with $r(X) = n + 1$ if and only if $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, and $r(X) = n$ if and only if $X = \mathbb{Q}^n$ [12, Corollary 3.1.15]. If $r(X) = n - 1$, then we call X a *del Pezzo variety* or a *del Pezzo n-fold*.

Let D be a Fano manifold of dimension $n - 1$. By [4, Proposition 5.1(i)], the cone $(\frac{1}{k}K_D)^\times$ is smoothable with smoothing M if and only if $M = X \setminus D$ for some n-dimensional Fano manifold X of index at least 2 containing D as an anti-canonical divisor such that $-K_X = (k + 1)[D]$ (where, here and throughout, we write $[D]$ to denote the line bundle on X induced by D). Thus, Theorem A will be a consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a Fano manifold of dimension ≤ 4 and let D be a smooth divisor in X satisfying $-K_X = \alpha[D]$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \geq 2$. Assume that $D = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for some rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Z$ over a Fano manifold Z. Then the only possibilities for α and (X, D) are:*

(i) *$\alpha = 2$ and $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$, $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$; or*
(ii) *$\alpha = 3$ and $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1)$, $(\mathbb{Q}^3, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, $(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}))$.*

Notice that, by adjunction, the assumptions on X and D here imply that D is necessarily Fano. By [17, Theorem 1.6], it subsequently follows that Z is also necessarily Fano.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First suppose that $\dim X = 2$. Then $-K_X = \alpha[D]$, where the only possibilities for α are $\alpha = 2, 3$. If $\alpha = 2$, then we have that $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$. If $\alpha = 3$, then we have that $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1)$.

Next suppose that $\dim X = 3$. Then $-K_X = \alpha[D]$, where the only possibilities for α are $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$. In this case, $D = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $D = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = \mathbb{P}^2_{(1)}$. If $\alpha = 3$, then we have that $(X, D) = (\mathbb{Q}^3, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. If $\alpha = 4$, then necessarily $D = \mathbb{P}^2$, a case which clearly cannot occur.

If $\alpha = 2$ and the index of X is 4, then necessarily $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$. Otherwise, X has index 2 and so is a del Pezzo variety. Since $D = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{P}^2_{(1)}$, we have that necessarily $D^3 = (-K_D)^2 = 8$. But from [12, Table 12.1], we read that a corresponding X with this property does not exist. Thus, we can rule out this case.

Now suppose that $\dim X = 4$. Then $-K_X = \alpha[D]$, where the only possibilities for α are $\alpha = 2, 3, 4, 5$. If $\alpha = 5$, then we must have $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathbb{P}^3)$, again a case that we can rule out since $\mathbb{P}^3$ does not carry the desired $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle structure [16]. If $\alpha = 4$, then we must have $(X, D) = (\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathbb{Q}^3)$, also a case that we can rule out by [16].

If $\alpha = 3$, then X is a del Pezzo variety with $(-K_D)^3 = (2([D]|_D))^3 = 8D^4$, so that 8 divides $(-K_D)^3$. Since $\dim X = 4$, we see from [12, Table 12.1] that necessarily $D^4 \leq 6$, so that $(-K_D)^3 \leq 48$. Also, since $-K_D = 2([D]|_D)$, D must have index 2 in this case. (If D had index 4, then necessarily $D = \mathbb{P}^3$ which cannot occur [16]). Therefore D is a del Pezzo threefold with $(-K_D)^3 = 8, 16, 24, 32, 40$ or 48. We can now read from [16] that the only possibilities are that $(-K_D)^3 = 48$, so that $D^4 = 6$, and that $D = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus, X is a del Pezzo variety of dimension 4 with anti-canonical divisor $D = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, satisfying $D^4 = 6$. Reading from [12, Table 12.1], we subsequently deduce that the only possibility is that $(X, D) = (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}))$.

Finally, let us consider the case $\alpha = 2$. Then we have that $-K_D = [D]|_D$ by adjunction. If the index of X is 4, then we must have that $(X, D) = (\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathbb{Q}^3)$, a case that may be ruled out by [16] as above. We therefore henceforth assume that the index of X is 2.

First suppose that X has Picard number $\rho(X) \geq 2$. Then X must appear on [12, Table 12.7] with $D^4 = (-K_D)^3$. Using the fact that $\rho(X) = \rho(D)$ by the Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections, we read from this table that necessarily $2 \leq \rho(D) \leq 4$ and $D^4 \leq 40$. From [16], it then follows that the only possible values for D^4 are 30, 36, 38 (any other Fano threefold D on that list with $D^4 \leq 40$ has $\rho(X) \geq 5$). From [12, Table 12.7], we subsequently read that the only possibility is that $D^4 = 30$, and correspondingly, that D is number 23 on [12, Table 12.3]. But since this Fano manifold does not appear on the list in [16], we can rule out this case.

The final case to consider is when $\rho(X) = 1$. Then X is a "Fano fourfold of the first species" as defined by [19], and from that paper we read that necessarily $2 \leq D^4 \leq 18$ in X, so that $2 \leq (-K_D)^3 \leq 18$. Since D must lie on the list [16], we see that necessarily $D = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2_{(k)}$, $k = 6, 7, 8$, so that $\rho(D) > 1$. Using again the fact that $\rho(X) = \rho(D)$, we derive a contradiction with the assumption that $\rho(X) = 1$. Thus, this case may be ruled out as well.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

References

1. K. Altmann, *The versal deformation of an isolated toric Gorenstein singularity*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 3, 443–479. MR 1452429 (98g:14006)
2. M. C. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 16, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995. MR 1318687 (96f:14004)
3. S. Benvenuti, S. Franco, A. Hanany, D. Martelli, and J. Sparks, *An Infinite family of superconformal quiver gauge theories with Sasaki-Einstein duals*, JHEP **0506** (2005), 064.
4. R. J. Conlon and H.-J. Hein, *Asymptotically conical Calabi-Yau manifolds, I*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), 2855–2902.
5. ———, *Asymptotically conical Calabi-Yau manifolds, II*, arXiv:1301.5312v2 (2014).
6. M. Cvetic, H. Lu, D. N. Page, and C.N. Pope, *New Einstein-Sasaki spaces in five and higher dimensions*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **95** (2005), 071101.
7. ———, *New Einstein-Sasaki and Einstein spaces from Kerr-de Sitter*, JHEP **0907** (2009), 082.

8. S. Franco, A. Hanany, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, D. Vegh, and B. Wecht, *Gauge theories from toric geometry and brane tilings*, J. High Energy Phys. (2006), no. 1, 128, 40 pp. (electronic). MR 2201204 (2006k:81297)
9. A. Futaki, H. Ono, and G. Wang, *Transverse Kähler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 3, 585–635. MR 2581358
10. J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, and D. Waldram, *Sasaki-Einstein metrics on $S^2 \times S^3$*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004), no. 4, 711–734. MR 2141499 (2006m:53067)
11. ———, *A New infinite class of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **8** (2006), 987–1000.
12. V. A. Iskovskikh and Y. G. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247. MR 1668579 (2000b:14051b)
13. T. Mabuchi and Y. Nakagawa, *New examples of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **65** (2013), no. 2, 243–252. MR 3079287
14. D. Martelli and J. Sparks, *Toric geometry, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds and a new infinite class of AdS/CFT duals*, Commun.Math.Phys. **262** (2006), 51–89.
15. M. B. Stenzel, *Ricci-flat metrics on the complexification of a compact rank one symmetric space*, Manuscripta Math. **80** (1993), no. 2, 151–163. MR 1233478 (94f:32020)
16. M. Szurek and J. A. Wiśniewski, *Fano bundles of rank* 2 *on surfaces*, Compositio Math. **76** (1990), no. 1-2, 295–305, Algebraic geometry (Berlin, 1988). MR 1078868 (92e:14037)
17. ———, *Fano bundles over $\mathbf{P}^3$ and Q_3*, Pacific J. Math. **141** (1990), no. 1, 197–208. MR 1028270 (91g:14036)
18. G. Tian and S.-T. Yau, *Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature. II*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), no. 1, 27–60. MR 1123371 (92j:32028)
19. P. M. H. Wilson, *Fano fourfolds of index greater than one*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **379** (1987), 172–181. MR 903639 (89b:14058)

Département de Mathématiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, Case Postale 8888, Succursale Centre-ville, Montréal (Québec), H3C 3P8, Canada

E-mail address: rconlon@cirget.ca